₁				
1	DARRYL M. WOO (SBN 100513)			
2	DWoo@goodwinlaw.com KELSEY MIDDLETON (SBN 332884) KMiddleton@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP			
3	KMiddleton@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP			
4	3 Embarcadero Center			
5	San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel.: +1 415 733 6068 Fax: +1 415 358 5622			
6	Attorneys for Defendant BrowserStack, Inc.			
7	DENIAL CRICER (CD) 2(420	~)		
8	BENJAMIN L. SINGER (SBN 264295) bsinger@singercashman.com EVAN BUDAJ (SBN 271213) ebudaj@singercashman.com SINGER CASHMAN LLP			
9	ebudaj@singercashman.com SINGER CASHMAN LLP			
10	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 1100 San Francisco, California 94111 Tel.: +1 415 500 6080			
11	Tel.: +1 415 500 6080 Fax: +1 415 500 6080			
12 13	Attorneys for Plaintiff Software Research, Inc.			
14				
15	IINITED STATES DISTRICT COLIDT			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - OAKLAND DIVISION			
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF C.	ALIFORNIA - OAKLAND DIVISION		
		ı		
18	COPERA DE DECE A DOM DIO			
	SOFTWARE RESEARCH, INC.,	Case No. 4:21-cv-08124-JST		
19	Plaintiff,	Case No. 4:21-cv-08124-JST Assigned to Hon. Jon S. Tigar		
20		Assigned to Hon. Jon S. Tigar STIPULATION AND		
20 21	Plaintiff,	Assigned to Hon. Jon S. Tigar STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER TO CONTINUE PROCEEDINGS AND		
20 21 22	Plaintiff, v.	Assigned to Hon. Jon S. Tigar STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER TO CONTINUE PROCEEDINGS AND APPLICABLE DEADLINES PENDING COMPLETION OF		
20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. BROWSERSTACK, INC.,	Assigned to Hon. Jon S. Tigar STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER TO CONTINUE PROCEEDINGS AND APPLICABLE DEADLINES		
20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v. BROWSERSTACK, INC.,	Assigned to Hon. Jon S. Tigar STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER TO CONTINUE PROCEEDINGS AND APPLICABLE DEADLINES PENDING COMPLETION OF		
20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. BROWSERSTACK, INC.,	Assigned to Hon. Jon S. Tigar STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER TO CONTINUE PROCEEDINGS AND APPLICABLE DEADLINES PENDING COMPLETION OF		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, v. BROWSERSTACK, INC.,	Assigned to Hon. Jon S. Tigar STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER TO CONTINUE PROCEEDINGS AND APPLICABLE DEADLINES PENDING COMPLETION OF		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Plaintiff, v. BROWSERSTACK, INC.,	Assigned to Hon. Jon S. Tigar STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER TO CONTINUE PROCEEDINGS AND APPLICABLE DEADLINES PENDING COMPLETION OF		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, v. BROWSERSTACK, INC.,	Assigned to Hon. Jon S. Tigar STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER TO CONTINUE PROCEEDINGS AND APPLICABLE DEADLINES PENDING COMPLETION OF		

1	Plaintiff Software Research, Inc. ("SRI") and Defendant BrowserStack, Inc.				
2	("BrowserStack"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby respectfully				
3	stipulate and move this Court to continue the above-captioned case, including any				
4	and all deadlines on the Court's Docket, for thirty (30) days in order to provide the				
5	parties early opportunity to explore settlement; with the exception that				
6	BrowserStack's deadline to respond to the Complaint, currently set for January 31,				
7	2022, shall not be changed. A proposed Order is attached.				
8					
9	Dated:	January 11, 2022	Respectfully submitted,		
10					
11			By:/s/ Darryl M. Woo DARRYL M. WOO (SBN 100513)		
12			DWoo@goodwinlaw.com KELSEY MIDDLETON (SBN		
13			332884) KMiddleton@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP		
14					
15			Attorneys for Defendant <i>BrowserStack, Inc.</i>		
16					
17	Dated:	January 11, 2022	By:/s/ <i>Evan Budaj</i> BENJAMIN L. SINGER		
18			bsinger@singercashman.com EVAN BUDAJ		
19			ebudaj@singercashman.com SINGER CASHMAN LLP		
20			505 Montgomery Street, Suite 1100 San Francisco, California 94111		
21			505 Montgomery Street, Suite 1100 San Francisco, California 94111 Tel.: +1 415 500 6080 Fax: +1 415 500 6080		
22 23			Attorneys for Plaintiff Software		
24			Research, Inc.		
25					
26					
27					
28					
			2		

ATTORNEY ATTESTATION I hereby attest, pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), that I obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from the signatory indicated by the conformed signature (/s/) of Evan Budaj. Dated: January 11, 2022 Darryl M. Woo

PROPOSED ORDER Having considered the stipulation of the Parties, and for good cause shown, the Court hereby orders all proceedings and applicable deadlines in this matter be continued by thirty (30) days, with the exception that BrowserStack's deadline to respond to the Complaint, currently set for January 31, 2022, shall not be changed. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 13, 2022 U. S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE